|  | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PETERSHAM TOWN HALL | 1 MR. FLICK: Yes. |
| 2 |  | 2 THE CHAIR: Okay. You'll have a |
| 3 | SPECIAL PERMIT APPLICATION | 3 chance to see it when you get there. |
| 4 |  | 4 Essentially, to kind of summarize what I |
| 5 | DELIBERATION SESSION | 5 understood the position to be, was concern from |
| 6 |  | 6 the applicant relative to any revenue neutral |
| 7 | JANUARY 28, 2015 | 7 type condition insofar as the applicant is a non |
| 8 |  | 8 profit and doesn't want to necessarily be tied |
| 9 |  | 9 to particular financial outcomes by the |
| 10 | Project: the retreat at petersham | 10 decision. At least relative to something that |
| 11 | behavioral health | 11 could be considered classified as a tax. Is |
| 12 | ADDICTION RECOVERY CENTER | 12 that a fair summary, John? |
| 13 | 211 NORTH MAIN STREET | 13 Mr. FLICK: It's not so much that the |
| 14 | PETERSHAM, MASSACHUSETTS | 14 applicant doesn't want to, it's that if it was a |
| 15 |  | 15 compulsory payment for services that are |
| 16 |  | 16 available to the general public, Massachusetts |
| 17 | BEFORE: | 17 courts have viewed those type of things as |
| 18 |  | 18 taxes. Therefore, unconstitutional. There's a |
| 19 | MARYANNE REYNOLDS, CHAIR | 19 court case, Emerson College versus the City of |
| 20 | bRIAN Macewen | 20 Boston, that supports that proposition. |
| 21 | DONALD EATON | 21 Basically, it's the applicant's position that |
| 22 |  | 22 any payments made to the Town must be voluntary, |
| 23 |  | 23 they cannot be compulsory. Especially if it's |
| 24 |  | 24 for services that are available to the general |
|  | 2 | 4 |
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS | 1 public. If it's for services that the applicant |
| 2 | THE CHAIR: I'd like to call the | 2 chooses to obtain by choice, permitting fees, |
| 3 | meeting to order. Good evening. This is the | 3 things like that, those are permissible. Those |
| 4 | meeting of the Petersham Zoning Board of | 4 are legal permissible fees. If it's a |
| 5 | Appeals. We are here tonight to further | 5 compulsory payment to the municipality to raise |
| 6 | deliberate on the application for a special | 6 revenue that would constitute an unlawful tax. |
| 7 | permit filed by Heywood Healthcare \& Affiliates. | 7 That was the gist of the communication, that any |
| 8 | This is, I think, our third or so deliberation | 8 conditions that are in here relative to fees for |
| 9 | session. | 9 monies paid by the applicant must be either |
| 10 | We have a working draft of a document | 10 legitimate fees for things such as permits, |
|  | and we were focussing on the conditions when we | 11 private police details, private fire details, or |
| 12 | last met. Why don't we pick up there. Relative | 12 they should otherwise then be voluntary on the |
| 13 | to any new conditions or some -- there was some | 13 part of the applicant, not compulsory. |
| 14 | discussion about wording of conditions and so | 14 THE CHAIR: Okay. So the case that |
| 15 | forth. | 15 was attached, I had a chance to read it. I |
| 16 | I also received during the week and I | 16 don't think it's directly on point, but I think |
| 17 | think -- Don and Brian, did you receive a copy | 17 it generally, I agree, would support the |
| 18 | of a communication from Attorney Flick relative | 18 proposition that the type of -- that there are |
| 19 | to a condition that I had been discussing around | 19 classifications of payments and how it's |
| 20 | the revenue neutral condition? | 20 classified has legal significance. It did get |
| 21 | MR. EATON: Yes. | 21 me to really think that this Board has been |
| 22 | MR. Macewen: I haven't seen it. | 22 clear that it doesn't want to attach as a |
| 23 | THE CHAIR: John, it should be in his | 23 condition that there be a PILOT. I think kind |
| 24 | inbox, right? I think you did copy all of us. | 24 of a further extension of that whole concept is |


|  | 5 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | that we're also not in the business of deciding |  | now, "to develop a payment in lieu of taxes, |
| 2 | who is taxable and who is not. That's for |  | PILOT agreement, which will allow, among other |
| 3 | others, I think, to do. What is taxable and | 3 | things, the Town to recover..." I think we |
| 4 | what's not and so forth, what activities are or |  | should probably say, instead of will, may. |
| 5 | aren't. I think that I'd like to sort of | 5 | Again, we're not the authorities on this. So |
| 6 | refrain the -- I'd like to take off the tabl | 6 | hich may allow, among other things, the Town |
| 7 | the concept of a revenue neutral condition | 7 | to recover revenue required to support the |
| 8 | We'll leave that to the powers to be around | 8 | oposed services," etc |
| 9 | revenue, which would be the Board of Selectmen | 9 | MR. MacEWEN: So delete the word |
| 10 | as well as the Board of Assessors and remove | 10 | will? |
| 11 | from our document the suggestion that the | 11 | THE CHAIR: Yes. Okay? |
| 12 | applicant is not subject to tax. I don't thin | 12 | MR. MacEWEN: Yes |
| 13 | that's a statement that we should have in our | 13 | MR. EATON: Uh-huh. |
| 14 | document. I think that determination would be | 14 | THE CHAIR: All right. I think that |
| 15 | made by taxing authorities. Leave | 15 | then addresses the correspondence that we got |
| 16 | reference to the PILOT in sort of like support | 16 | this week from the applicant that we just |
| 17 | of their good faith efforts to work | 17 | discussed. Relative to conditions, I think that |
| 18 | Town on revenue impacting issues and kind of | 18 | was really our focus. Roy? |
| 19 | move on from there. Does | 19 | R. NILSON: Could I ask one quick |
| 20 | MR. EATON: That | 20 | tiny question? |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, | 21 | THE CHAIR: Sure. |
| 22 | Brian? | 22 | MR. NILSON: The choice of the use of |
| 23 | MR. MacEWEN: Yes | 23 | the word recover, what are you recovering? |
| 24 | THE CHAIR: Okay. | 24 | Maybe collect would be a better word. |
|  | 6 |  | 8 |
| 1 | MR. MacEWEN: I'm browsing really | 1 | UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry, we |
| 2 | quick here. Were there any notations in our | 2 | can't hear you. |
| 3 | conditions that we already had on the draft that | 3 | MR. NILSON: Or receive |
|  | need to be modified | 4 | MR. MacEWEN: It says to recover |
| 5 | THE CHAIR: I think we could probably | 5 | revenue. |
|  | do that later | 6 | MR. NILSON: That implies a loss of |
| 7 | R MacEWEN: | 7 | some kind. |
| 8 | THE CHAIR: I will say that it's in | 8 | THE CHAIR: That was an edit that was |
| 9 | Paragraph D as in Discussion Point 7. There's a | 9 | made by Town Counsel which I think I would just |
| 10 | sentence that says, " | 10 | as soon leave in on the theory that he's |
| 11 | facility, the applicant will not be required to | 11 | probably more familiar with the impact of word |
| 12 | pay taxes to the Town." That gets | 12 | change there than we are. You're suggesting to |
| 13 | We're just sil | 13 | instead of recover to have collect? |
| 14 | The next sentence starts | 14 | MR. NILSON: Or receive. The word |
| 15 | "However." Delete However and just continue | 15 | applies that there's a loss that's being made |
| 16 | then with the capitalizing the T of the | 16 | right, or repaired, the word recover. |
| 17 | MR. EATON: Where are we? | 17 | HE CHAIR: Well, I would say that |
| 18 | THE CHAIR: D7, which is Page 7 | 18 | when we changed will to may -- I'd feel more |
| 19 | of 11 | 19 | comfortable leaving the language that Town |
| 20 | MR. EATON: Okay. | 20 | Counsel put just on the theory that there was a |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: So "The applicant has, in | 21 | rationale there |
| 22 | good faith, been working work with the Town's | 22 | MR. NILSON: Thank you. |
| 23 | Tax Exempt Property Committee..." I think we | 23 | THE CHAIR: Okay. Relative to |
| 24 | could also update that to say Board of Selectmen | 24 | conditions, I had some tweaks that I wanted to |


| make to the document. I'd introduce some new ones that are sort of substitutes for other ones. What I'm hoping we can do is basically simplify this and sharpen its focus for the overall good of the document. <br> Part of the idea here is that the applicant is intending to be self-sufficient. The self-sufficiency goes to revenue impact or potential revenue or sort of impact on municipal services. The applicant has proposed a condition that $I$ had some questions about adopting at our last meeting, but on further reflection, I think that it's fine. I'd like to adopt it. It's basically that it would use commercially reasonable means to be self-sustaining. I think that should be -- with what they've proposed, I think that should be included as a condition. <br> MR. MacEWEN: Did you include that in the e-mail transmission last week? <br> MR. FLICK: Uh-huh. <br> MR. MacEWEN: You did, okay. I have not seen it yet. Sorry about that. <br> THE CHAIR: It's here. | the number of calls for assistance..." we're looking to wipe that out and replace this with commercially reasonable efforts? <br> THE CHAIR: Well, this is a stand alone. This isn't limited to security or police services. It would be -- you can take out the rest of C12, otherwise we have to start getting into talking about what are the numbers on more than an infrequent basis. It gets to talking about mitigating the impact. This would go in and a couple of other things that we'll talk about. <br> MR. MacEWEN: So are you saying strike it after the days per year? <br> THE CHAIR: Yes, I think we can strike the sentence that is "At any time during the operation of the facility, should the number of calls for assistance become more than on infrequent basis, the applicant shall be responsible for coordinating the necessary measures to mitigate the financial impacts on the Town." <br> With this they have to use <br> commercially reasonable efforts to do it and |
| :---: | :---: |
| MR. MacEWEN: Do you have it there? <br> THE CHAIR: Yes. Let me just read <br> it. The proposed condition stated by the applicant is as follows: "The applicant shall make commercially reasonable efforts to operate the facility in a manner that is self-sufficient and does not overburden the Town's public services." <br> MR. MacEWEN: Were we thinking of piggybacking that? <br> MR. FLICK: That would be in place of the current language in C11. Although you could still include the language, "The applicant shall maintain trained security personnel." <br> THE CHAIR: C11 right now is something different because there was some renumbering. C11 on the current draft has to do with the total number of beds available. <br> MR. MacEWEN: I think it's like C12. <br> THE CHAIR: Right, so it's C12 now. <br> We would keep the first sentence which refers to their personnel, 24/7, 365 days a year. <br> MR. MacEWEN: And then the part about "during the operation of the facility, should | it's not limited to police services, it would also be applicable to fire services, for example, or any other services that might come into play. Although police and fire are two of the obvious ones. Does that sound okay to you, Don? <br> MR. EATON: Yes, it does. <br> THE CHAIR: Brian, does that sound okay to you? <br> MR. MacEWEN: Uh-huh. <br> THE CHAIR: Okay. On C21, which is <br> where we're talking about water supply and septic system, that's been an issue of mine. What I'd like to do is keep what's there, but add a sentence that says something to the effect that, "Further, the special permit shall be revoked if applicant is unable to either obtain water or dispose of septic wastewater properly exclusively on the site." Actually, I can probably better word it. <br> The idea being that relative to this concept of self-sufficiency, we're being specific that there is their need for water and their ability to dispose of wastewater is going |

to happen exclusively on the site. It wouldn't get into a situation where down the road where their demand is such that all of a sudden, for example, we need to develop new systems for them to get water or septic. Similar to what the concern has been down in Hardwick where they've had to run piping along the street, as I understand it, for the Eagle Hill School. I think that's consistent with what they've sort of discussed which is that --

MR. MacEWEN: Where is it. This right here?

THE CHAIR: Yes.
MR. BROWN: What's the issue with the Hardwick school?

THE CHAIR: It's a facility that had been operating without any pipes coming out of it basically. What's your technical term for it? You know, sewerage pipes or water pipes. For whatever reason they ended up, after a number of years of operating, they ended up needing to put piping into the ground. As I understand the piping -- is it septic or wastewater.
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MR. MacEWEN: Sewer.
THE CHAIR: Sewer down towards
Gilbertsville.
MR. BROWN: Is the Town bearing the cost of that?

THE CHAIR: No.
MR. MacEWEN: The Town is not bearing the cost of that.

MR. BROWN: So if something like that occurred, why does the Town care if it's not bearing the burden?

THE CHAIR: Well, we don't know what the future --

MR. BROWN: I'm just curious. I never heard of this before.

MR. MacEWEN: I'm kind of concerned about revoking a special permit on something that's regulated by D.E.P.

MR. BROWN: Right.
MR. MacEWEN: But I don't have a problem with revisiting the issue again to get it back in the public eye. For example, Eagle Hill had to bear the cost of running that line on some of the local individuals to get the

benefit of having that sewer put in because they had a failed septic system. I'm not so sure that it's not a good thing to have that covered as far as coming back in to amend the special permit or revisit it just like any -- and it may be covered by one of the other conditions saying if there's any substantive change to the site. I don't know. I'll go back and look at the earlier --

MR. FLICK: If we look at -- well, my numbering has changed, but it was C12 or C13. "The applicant's responsibilities for management of the project approved by this decision, shall include, but not be limited to the following, if located within the site, maintaining drainage facilities, landscaping, storm water basins and appurtenances; maintaining interior roadways.." we could add to that water supply and septic.

THE CHAIR: I think that if there ended up being septic or sewerage systems in that neighborhood, it sort of begins to transform the neighborhood. That should be something that can happen if others down the road think that that's a proper way to grow the

Town and so forth. I don't think sitting here that that's something that we should do, the whole project disrupted, traffic along 32-A for a long time, people having to be detoured quite a distance coming and going. I don't know how all of that would impact here where we have such a main road here and detouring would be, I think, very difficult. The whole point, as I understand it in their theory, is that they're going to be able to be self-sufficient anyway. If revoked is too strong of a word, it's okay -I think if it's a condition that they're self-sufficient with respect to their water and their wastewater being exclusively at the site and then we have not built in some expectation that there is actually, you know, piping that might come down the road. We're not prohibiting it. If piping comes down -- in the future, I think there could be an opportunity to revisit this and make a change.

MR. MacEWEN: Again, broadly speaking condition what's 3 , now 4 on my computer, "Any modification to the building, site improvements, internal parking or driveway configuration or
approved uses as represented by the applicant through the proceedings and as approved by this decision, subject to the findings above, shall require, prior to implementing such change, a determination from the Board as to whether the proposed change is substantially different than that presented in the materials and information used in making this decision."

I think we have another condition that goes to the substantive change issue relative to the site and, you know, site improvements. Maybe we, up front, define what that includes, drainage, sewer, water, that type of stuff. As we look at these conditions I think we're going to see that we have some redundancies.

I'm not sure that we need to -- in light of looking at Eagle Hill School, they were not shut down. That facility stayed operational the whole time that this construction took place. It wasn't the intent of the Town to shut them down. The intent was to accommodate their growth of the facility and not being able to sufficiently or adequately take care of their
wastewater on site.
THE CHAIR: That's my point. My positive vote on this would be subject to us not building in accommodations for their growth. The whole point of a number of our conditions has been that they're not going to increase square footage, that we're limiting the number of beds, that there's no material change.

The idea is that we're approving that which we see now. I think it's quite possible that they would want to grow. If they do want to grow, I don't think this decision should give them a head start on that. If they do want to grow that that should be reviewed by whoever is in the decision making role at that point and make the decision in the context of the circumstances as they exist at that time.

MR. MacEWEN: So you don't think what I just read covers it?

MR. FLICK: It's already there. That exact scenario that you just presented is already covered in this agreement. If there's any growth beyond the site. So let's say --

MR. MacEWEN: Not even beyond the
site. Beyond what we have been presented.
MR. FLICK: Exactly. If there's an additional building within the site, we're coming back before the ZBA for an amendment.

Let's say for the sake of argument that D.E.P. says the water supply isn't sufficient and they found an isolated aquifer across the street that they want us to tap into and we negotiate with that property owner for a right-of-way. If we have to run the water main underneath the road we negotiate with DOT. We would have to come back for an amendment to this application because of that. I think the concerns that you're raising are already covered within the special permit.

MR. MacEWEN: To go further, John,
C5, C7, whatever you want to call it, this is the one that I was looking for, "Materials, substantive revisions," our Town Counsel made it changes, "changes to the location of the parking lot as presented shall not be permitted without the written approval of the Board and amendment of this special permit.." We could just drop in literally -- I think that almost piggybacks with
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what I just read previously. That's why I said I think we've got some repetitiveness here. If you needed to drop that in there, I think we do, but to say that -- when someone tells me they're going to revoke a permit, they cease and desist immediately. That's not what we're looking to do.

THE CHAIR: I have a suggestion. If revoke is the word that gets you, how about this. "Further, the special permit is subject to the applicant being able to obtain water and dispose of wastewater, septic, exclusively on the site." So it's a condition, it doesn't use the word revoke, but it's making clear that the water and wastewater is exclusively on the site. It doesn't -- that makes it very clear, I think, for people to understand what it means. It doesn't create debate around whether it's a material change, etc.

MR. MacEWEN: But with water supply it does because you can never determine -that's part of why D.E.P. is going to require them to monitor the abutting wells, to determine whether or not their water supply is tapped into

|  | 21 |  | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | an aquifer that's supplying local wells. That's |  | jump into something else, let me type this in. |
| 2 | outside of the site. I think you're getting | 2 | Let me just get this started. |
| 3 | into an area that is -- I think we have it | 3 | THE CHAIR: We have a correction |
| 4 | covered. Whether we need to add some specifics | 4 | MR. EATON: This is a correction to |
| 5 | into a couple of these conditions specific to | 5 | what number? |
| 6 | water and septic system, I don't have a problem | 6 | THE CHAIR: This would be to C21 |
| 7 | with that. Like I say, with water supply | 7 | . EATON: Okay. |
| 8 | say it's limited to the site, the parameters of | 8 | THE CHAIR: Then on C25 we talk |
| 9 | the site, that's a gray area because wate |  | about the annual reviews and that being for the |
| 10 | supply | 10 | first three years after the granting of the |
| 11 | THE CHAIR: A well on the site and a | 11 | special permit. It dawned on me that that |
|  | septic system on the site? | 12 | basically is reviews during the phase-in period, |
| 13 | MR. MacEWEN: Right. | 13 | which I think is good. I think there should |
| 14 | THE CHAIR: Okay. If your point is |  | so be a review after they've actually been |
|  | that the well is on the land and it draws water | 15 | operating. In other words, you know how they're |
|  | that may have migrated from beyond the | 16 | phasing in, we should had a review three years |
|  | boundaries of the land. I'm not trying to | 17 | after they complete Phases 1, 2 and 3 so that |
|  | suggest that that is not permissible. I'm just | 18 | we're looking at it with some years of |
|  | saying that the well. | 19 | experience of them actually being operational. |
| 20 | MR. MacEWEN: Okay, I thought you | 20 | MacEWEN: Which condition is |
|  | said water supply. |  | that that you're looking at again? |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: I may have not been | 22 | THE CHAIR: That was C26. I'm sorry, |
| 23 | precise enough | 23 | C25 |
| 24 | MR. MacEWEN: I don't have a problem | 24 | MR. MacEWEN: What were you |
|  | 22 |  | 24 |
|  | if you want to add it and change some of the |  | suggesting? |
| 2 | wording. I just feel that we've got redundancy | 2 | THE CHAIR: Keeping that and taking |
| 3 | throughout. | 3 | out the period at the end of it and adding a |
| 4 | THE CHAIR: So well water, if I said |  | ma and saying, "and then again three years |
| 5 | $l l$ water? |  | ter Phases 1, 2 and 3 are completed." |
| 6 | MR. MacEWEN: I would just say the | 6 | I also like referencing the phases |
| 7 | well. Don't reference | 7 | cause I think that's -- I'm not sure how well |
| 8 | MR. EATON: Could you read the whole |  | we developed the fact that there are actually |
| 9 | thing | 9 | phases to this earlier in the opinion. It ties |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: I think we better | 10 | to the materials that they presented which shows |
|  | "Further, the special merit is subject to |  | that they're going to be putting it in in |
| 12 | applicant being able to" or the applicant -- how | 12 | phases. |
| 13 | do you not use the word water. "The applicant's | 13 | MR. BROWN: Why don't you just say |
|  | water supply being drawn from a well exclusively |  | thin the fifth year of the project. |
|  | on the site." | 15 | THE CHAIR: I wanted to give you the |
| 16 | MR. MacEWEN: Sounds good to me. | 16 | flexibility, you know, if construction didn't go |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Okay. "And a septic | 17 | quite as you planned. |
| 18 | system located exclusively on the site." | 18 | MR. BROWN: That's why I gave you two |
| 19 | MR. MacEWEN: Uh-h | 19 | more years. It's a three-year project. That |
| 20 | THE CHAIR: Okay. | 20 | would be two more or six years, just pick a |
| 2 | MR. Macelen: Where else would it be. | 21 | num |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: Exactly. | 22 | THE CHAIR: My intent would be to, if |
| 23 | MR. EATON: Okay, that's fine. | 23 | you're going to do these phases, to actually |
| 24 | MR. MacEWEN: Unless you're going to | 24 | look at it when they're done. I know you have a |

```
prediction about how long it will take, but
things don't always take --
    MR. BROWN: Best laid plans.
    THE CHAIR: We could do something
like "or six years after the special permit,
whichever comes first." Do you like that
better? They like that better, they're nodding
their heads yes. That would be fine with me.
    MR. MacEWEN: Repeat that again
please.
THE CHAIR: We're at C25. You've got the period, right, that becomes a comma. "Then again three years after Phases 1, 2 and 3 are completed or after six years from the granting of the special permit, whichever comes first."
MR. MacEWEN: Okay.
THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you,
```

Don?
MR. EATON: Yes.
THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you
Brian?
MR. MacEWEN: Yes.
THE CHAIR: I think that was it for new stuff. There was some things that we talked
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about that weren't yet in this draft. We have to pick those up, they were discussed last week.
We don't have the transcript from last week yet
so we can't refer back to that. We need to get those. Do you have an idea when we're going to get that transcript?

THE REPORTER: Next week.
THE CHAIR: Do you know what date
that would be?
MR. FLICK: May 6th?
THE CHAIR: I mean, $I$ have a sense of what they were. We can try to remember, we can try to reconstruct or we can rely on the transcript. Brain, if you had notes. I know I made some notes.

MR. BROWN: Do you want to start at the top and go through it and see what we missed. I think most of them are -- we can find out what ones we're missing. Does that sound like a good idea?

THE CHAIR: Let's try that.
MR. FLICK: We pretty much made it through all of them except for the one issue on self-sufficiency which we addressed already.

MR. MacEWEN: Right.
THE CHAIR: Okay. Let's maybe start
from the top of the document and try to go
through it and try and pick up things. We'll
probably need that transcript just to make sure. First let me ask you, do either of you have any edits to the document up to the conditions?

MR. EATON: No.
THE CHAIR: Brian, do you?
MR. MacEWEN: No. Just the
wordsmithing, as you said.
THE CHAIR: Some wordsmithing, okay. I have just a few small ones that I'll make a note of now. One is in the history, we have to make sure that we recognize that when they first made the application to cite the sections that they had relied upon and then they amended it to change the sections. Right now, the first paragraph under bold Number 1, that actually needs to get changed because it reflects the final request, not the original request. That's just kind of housekeeping.

MR. FLICK: That's fine.
MR. EATON: What page?

THE CHAIR: That would be Page 2 of 11. It's bolded and it says -- the paragraph starts, On August 15, 2014. And then it says under Section 5 at the end of that first paragraph, Sections $5(B)(C), 5(B)(e)$ and 5(C)(a). I think those were the ones that we actually ended up with. What was it? I'd have to pull it out. At first the request came in as 5 --

MR. FLICK: It was a typo.
THE CHAIR: Yeah, it was a typo,
that's all. It just doesn't make sense the way it's written right now.

MR. MacEWEN: Well, then, down below that it goes on to say, "On or about September 22, 2014, applicant amended its application."

THE CHAIR: Right.
MR. MacEWEN: All we really need to do is change the letter signifying the specific section of the bylaw that was cited in their original application. That's the way I look at it.

THE CHAIR: Yeah, that or just delete the sections up above, the specific reference to

|  | 29 |  | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the sections because it's covered down below. | 1 | Okay. D what? |
| 2 | MR. FLICK: It should be 5(e). The | 2 | THE CHAIR: D7. |
| 3 | original was $5(\mathrm{~B})(\mathrm{C}), 5(\mathrm{~B})(\mathrm{e})$ and $5(\mathrm{C})$ | 3 | MR. Macelen: Yes. |
| 4 | MR. Macewen: So it | 4 | THE CHAIR: You picked this up from |
| 5 | MR. FLICK: 5(B) (c), 5(B) (e) and |  | the draft when I was going to go vote no where |
| 6 | 5 (c) | 6 | "The Board notes its inability to make an |
| 7 | THE CHAIR: Small (c). | 7 | informed decision." That actually was language |
| 8 | MR. MacEWEN: 5 small (c) | 8 | that I drafted when I thought there were gaps |
| 9 | THE CHAIR: That's a small point. | 9 | that should be overcome. I suggest that we |
| 10 | You can put it how it was. Yes, just put it how | 10 | modify that a bit at this point and make the |
|  | it was. That way it helps to understand. |  | same change in D8 to say, "Its inability to make |
| 12 | MR. MacEWEN: 5(B)(c), 5(B)(e) and | 12 | fully informed decision." I do think that we |
| 13 | 5(c), small (C) | 13 | are largely informed, perhaps not fully, but |
| 14 | THE CHAIR: Yes, instead of a capital | 14 | largely. I'd make that change in D7 and in D8. |
| 15 | (C) and then a small (a). Okay | 15 | MR. MacEWEN: So make a fully |
| 16 | On the next page, 3 of 11, there | 16 | informed. |
| 17 | was -- I would strike where we tried to start | 17 | THE CHAIR: Yes. Its inability, |
| 18 | listing persons who addressed the Board during | 18 | that's what the sentence says, "It notes its |
|  | the hearing that were of note. There actually | 19 | inability to make an informed..." I would say |
| 20 | were a number of people that addressed the Board | 20 | fully informed. Or strike that altogether and |
| 21 | that were of note. Either we have to really | 21 | just say that, "It is foreseeable" and delete |
| 22 | extend that list or we should maybe just delete | 22 | "however." |
| 23 | $i t$ | 23 | MR. MacEWEN: That's what I was |
| 24 | MR. MacEWEN: Delete "Of note" all | 24 | wondering. Delete the first sentence? |
|  | 30 |  | 32 |
|  | the way through. | 1 | MR. FLICK: Yes. |
| 2 | THE CHAIR: Yes. | 2 | THE CHAIR: That's okay. |
| 3 | MR. MacEWEN: Leave the first two | 3 | MR. MacEWEN: That's on D8 as well, |
| 4 | sentences of that paragraph? | 4 | right. How do you want to word that? Just |
| 5 | THE CHAIR: Yes | 5 | delete the first sentence and just say, "The |
| 6 | MR. MacEWEN: That's just saying | 6 | applicant is" |
|  | everybody had an opportunity to be heard. | 7 | THE CHAIR: No, there I think -- I |
| 8 | THE CHAIR: To speak and be heard | 8 | think you could delete the beginning, "The Board |
| 9 | from the special consult, the Board's | 9 | notes its inability to make an informed |
| 10 | sultant, and members of the public. Then we | 10 | decision." Delete those words and then start it |
|  | have a couple just tidying up |  | with Relative, so capitalize the (R) of |
| 12 | When we get to Page 6 of 11 and | 12 | Relative. "Relative to water usage at site and |
| 13 | we're referencing the Board's consultant, I | 13 | its impact on the abutting private water supply |
|  | would put in his name there so we're attributing |  | wells," and then a small (t) on the applicant, |
| 15 | e Board's Consultant's, Kevin Flynn | 15 | "the applicant has indicated that this issue |
| 16 | Preliminary Report. That's Number 23. | 16 | shall be monitored fully under the direction of |
| 17 | Number 26 is his final | 17 | the Massachusetts D.E.P. as required under the |
| 18 | And then when we get to Discussion we | 18 | applicable state regulations." |
| 19 | deliberated on April 7th, 21st and 28th. | 19 | MR. EATON: I'm still on D7. |
| 20 | MR. MacEWEN: Yeah, I'll add the | 20 | THE CHAIR: Okay. At D7 we're |
| 21 | 28th. | 21 | deleting the first sentence. The paragraph |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: When we get to Discussion | 22 | would begin with what is the second sentence |
| 2 | Points, on D7. | 23 | now, "It is foreseeable." Delete the word |
| 24 | MR. Macewen: Give me a second. | 24 | however, because we don't need however any more, |

and keep the rest.
We talked a little bit earlier about deleting the sentence, "As a not-for-profit facility, the applicant will not be required to pay taxes to the Town." That's already out. The next sentence picks up, the "applicant has, in good faith, been working with the Town." We said committee, but we're going to add and Selectboard.

MR. MacEWEN: I lost you. Where are you?

THE CHAIR: D7. Okay?
MR. MacEWEN: Yeah, okay. We're just
taking out that first sentence. Taking out
however and leaving all the rest the same,
correct?
THE CHAIR: Yes. We're all in agreement on that then

MR. MacEWEN: Yes.
MR. EATON: Yes.
THE CHAIR: We're now onto D8. I
would add at the end of that a new sentence that
says, "Nothing in this decision is intended to limit local control over applicant's use of

## 34

water at the site."
MR. MacEWEN: Say that again.
THE CHAIR: It's being explicit.
"Nothing in this decision is intended to limit
local control over applicant's use of water at the site."

MR. EATON: How would local control
come into this?
THE CHAIR: Like the Board of Health if there were well issues.

MR. EATON: I think it's covered.
THE CHAIR: Somebody could read this and think, oh, $I$ have to go to Mass D.E.P. if there's an issue.

MR. FLICK: They do.
MR. EATON: They do.
THE CHAIR: They may opt, to the extent there is local authority, we're not doing anything, nor do I think could we, but we're making clear that we're not doing anything that takes away local control that may be exercised by the Board of Health. That would seem to be the primary example.

MR. EATON: Put it in, but I think

24
it's covered.
MR. BROWN: I think it's okay if you end the sentence with "site, where applicable."
It's a public water supply so D.E.P. is going to end the sentence with "site, where applicable."
It's a public water supply so D.E.P. is going to have full reign over the water supply.

MR. FLICK: They have exclusive
jurisdiction.
THE CHAIR: They may or may not have exclusive jurisdiction. I don't think that we're really prepared to decide that they have or don't have exclusive jurisdiction. I just want to be clear that we're not making decisions here that are intended to curtail local control. If there's no local control --

MR. BROWN: It's going to revert anyway.

THE CHAIR: Yes, it's going to go to D.E.P. so we don't need to add "as applicable."

MR. EATON: We're talking about D8 at this point still? THE CHAIR: Yes.

MR. BROWN: We can live with that.
MR. MacEWEN: Repeat it one more
time.
MR. BROWN: It's going to revert
-
36

THE CHAIR: Put the sentence at the end of D8. "Nothing in this decision is intended to limit local control over applicant's use of water at the site."

MR. MacEWEN: Okay.
THE CHAIR: Okay. On D9 we need to make the same type of edit where we strike, "The Board notes its inability to make an informed decision." Strike that.

MR. MacEWEN: Start with Relative?
THE CHAIR: Start with Relative. Put a coma at the end after properties, small (t) on "the" and carry on from there. Then I would add the same sentence that we just added to D8 only now it's septic, since this paragraph has to do with septic disposal. "Nothing in this decision is intended to limit local control over applicant's septic disposal at the site."

MR. MacEWEN: Okay.
THE CHAIR: Is that okay, Don?
MR. EATON: Sure.
THE CHAIR: Is that okay, Brian?
MR. MacEWEN: Uh-huh.
THE CHAIR: That was a yes.


McCARTHY REPORTING SERVICE

| 41 | 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 of Health and/or the Petersham Department of | 1 so that we accomplish the objective, but we're |
| 2 Public Works as applicable governing | 2 also not limiting it and suggesting that somehow |
| 3 MR. MacEWEN: That part was going to | 3 on-street parking is available outside the |
| 4 be deleted. We're just going to stop | 4 construction phase. So I would say delete the |
| 5 "Petersham Town Boar | 5 word "construction" where it first app |
| 6 THE CHAIR: Oh, okay. Is that what | 6 MR. EATON: Where are you? |
| 7 we changed from last time? | 7 THE CHAIR: C20. |
| 8 MR. MacEWEN | 8 MR. MacEWEN: Just read the first |
| 9 THE CHAIR: That's good. I forgot | 9 part of it. |
| 10 about that one. I like that. I guess we agreed | 10 THE CHAIR: "On-street parking." It |
| 11 to that the last time | 11 had been C18, now it's C20. |
| 12 MR. Macewen: | 12 MR. MacEWEN: What do you want to the |
| 13 MR. EATON: That's what I have. | 13 do? |
| 14 THE CHAIR: Okay, good. On C11 where | 14 THE CHAIR: Delete the word |
| 15 it says, "The total number of beds available for | 15 "construction" where it first appears so it |
| 16 patients shall not exceed 86." I'd like to end | 16 reads "On-street parking of vehicles shall be |
| 17 it there. Put a period and delete the rest so | 17 prohibited." Then I would add a comma and say |
| 18 that we're not suggesting that we ar | 18 "including construction vehicles." So that's |
| 19 anticipating additional beds. Right now it | 19 it. Does that accomplish your objective for |
| 20 says, "without further amendment to this special | 20 management purposes? I think it would, right? |
| permit, which amendment must be presented," | 21 MR. BROWN: That's fine with us |
| 22 I guess if they wanted to seek an amendment then | 22 THE CHAIR: Don, is that okay with |
| 23 whatever -- that they would come and they would | 23 you? |
| 24 do it. I don't know why we have to basically | 24 MR. EATON: Yes. |
| 42 | 44 |
| 1 invite that now because | 1 THE CHAIR: Brian, is that okay with |
| 2 consistent, at least in my vision of what's | 2 you? |
| 3 happening there. I think we're talking 86 beds | 3 MR. MacEWEN: Ye |
| 4 and not anticipating growth. So I would like to | 4 THE CHAIR: Okay. It's kind of a |
| 5 end the sentenc | 5 similar edit on C23 which has |
| 6 MR. EATON: That's fine with me | 6 MR. MacEWEN: How does it begin? |
| 7 THE CHAIR: End it at 86. That's | 7 THE CHAIR: "The applicant shall keep |
| 8 fine with Don, Brian. Is it also fine | 8 the site and the adjoining, existing roadway." |
| 9 you? | 9 It says, "clean and free of noise, odor, dust, |
| 10 MR. MacEWEN: Yeah, just note that | 10 refuse or traffic," but then it says "during |
| 11 the whole latter part of that paragraph was | 11 construction of the project." Let's just delete |
| 12 added by Town Counsel | 12 "during construction of the project" and have it |
| 13 THE CHAIR: Right. Which I think he | 13 always be applicable. |
| 14 was going to elaborate on what we meant | 14 MR. FLICK: Can I ask a question now? |
| 5 amendment. | 15 THE COURT: Yes. |
| 16 Mr. MacEWEN: Exactly. Okay, I'm | 16 MR. FLICK: How do you define |
| fine with that | 17 traffic? Ten cars leaving the facility at the |
| 18 THE CHAIR: Jumping to C20 which | 18 end of a shift, is that traffic? In Boston it |
| 19 starts "On-street parking." I know on-stree | 19 would be traffic. You might want to strike |
| 20 parking is not allowed because it's a State road | 20 that. |
| 21 and I know we have this condition because it's | 21 THE CHAIR: Okay. That's a fair |
| 22 helpful to the applicant for management purposes | 22 point. |
| 23 during the construction phase. Since we're | 23 MR. EATON: You could just strike |
| 24 going to have it, I think we ought to modify it | 24 that. The point is noise, odor and dust issues. |


|  | 45 |  | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE CHAIR: Yes. Obviously traffic |  | subject to the well," blah, blah, blah. |
| 2 | needs to use a roadway. If traffic was | 2 | THE CHAIR: Blah, blah, blah, really. |
| 3 | important to anybody, we can say traffic | 3 | MR. Macewen: Basically I want to |
| 4 | congestion. I think we could also just take it | 4 | th |
| 5 | out. | 5 | THE CHAIR: Just read it for us |
| 6 | MR. EATON: I'm | 6 | again. |
| 7 | MR. MacEWEN: So it would go "and | 7 | MR. MaceWEN: What, the blah, blah, |
| 8 | fuse." | 8 | blah part? |
| 9 | MR. FLICK: Yes. "Or refuse." | 9 | THE CHAIR: This is something we |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: "Or refuse." You need to | 10 | decided the last time? |
|  | add the word "construction" in the next sentence | 11 | MR. MacEWEN: Yes, this is something |
| 12 | to modify work. So it would say, "Upon | 12 | that we talked about. We were going back and |
| 13 | completion of all construction work on the | 13 | forth. Something that you brought up, |
| 14 | site," and kind of carry it on that they're | 14 | "Furthermore, this special permit is subject to |
| 15 | going to remove all debris. Obviously there's | 15 | the well and septic." |
| 16 | going to be some debris around any construction. | 16 | MR. BROWN: I think we addressed |
| 17 | That's not going to be a problem. Okay? | 17 | that |
| 18 | MR. FLICK: If I may suggest, because | 18 | MR. Macelen: Yeah, I do too. |
| 19 | of the fact that these now seems to be | 19 | THE CHAIR: Yes. And it was tailed |
| 20 | disjointed sentences that with the word "Upon" | 20 | off, it wasn't actually language that we were |
| 21 | begin a new condition. | 21 | going to come back to? |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: Sure. That's okay with | 22 | MR. Macewen: Yes |
| 23 | me. Is that okay with you? | 23 | THE CHAIR: Okay. We can strike |
| 24 | MR. EATON: I'm not following. | 24 | that. |
|  | 46 |  | 48 |
| 1 | THE CHAIR: We added the word | 1 | MR. EATON: Who is the Building |
| 2 | "construction" before work in C23, right | 2 | Commissioner. Should we change that to Building |
| 3 | MR. EATON: Uh-huh. | 3 | Inspector? |
| 4 | THE CHAIR: We're now going to break | 4 | MR. MacEWEN: What is Biranna's |
| 5 | C23 into two separate conditions. C23, or | 5 | technical title? |
| 6 | whatever number it ends up being, is one | 6 | MR. BROWN: She's the Commissioner. |
| 7 | sentence that says, "The applicant shall keep | 7 | MS. SKOWYRA: I'm the Commissioner. |
| 8 | the site and the adjoining, existing roadway | 8 | MR. MacEWEN: She is the |
| 9 | area clean and free of noise, odor, dust and | 9 | Commissioner. |
| 10 | use." Then we have a new condition that | 10 | MR. EATON: Oh, you are? Okay. I |
|  | says, "Upon completion of all construction work | 11 | didn't know that |
| 12 | on the site and prior to," etc., "as-built | 12 | THE CHAIR: What's this about |
| 13 | approval." Making | 13 | identification. What's the difference between |
| 14 | MR. EATON: | 14 | Building Inspector and Building Commissioner? |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, | 15 | MS. SKOWYRA: Basically, the Building |
| 16 | Brian? | 16 | Inspector isn't really a technical term. The |
| 17 | MR. MacEWEN: Yes. We started to add | 17 | title the State offers is either Building |
| 18 | something to that second sentence that ended | 18 | Commissioner or Inspector of Buildings. The |
| 19 | with "disposition of materials" in my edits. | 19 | person who would an Assistant Building Inspector |
| 20 | I'm assuming you have no further |  | if referred to as a Local Government Inspector. |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: I don't. What did we | 21 | THE CHAIR: Oh, okay. So in any of |
| 22 | have before? |  | our decisions we should say Building |
| 23 | MR. MacEWEN: We were discussing the | 23 | Commissioner instead of Building Inspector? |
| 24 | issue, "Furthermore, the special permit is | 24 | MS. SKOWYRA: Yes. | permit? the entrances. structure, per se. square footage.

THE CHAIR: We all know who we mean.
MS. SKOWYRA: Right.
THE CHAIR: We might as well

MS. SKOWYRA: The official title is
Building Commissioner.
THE CHAIR: Okay, Thanks. Thanks for that question, too, Don. Moving on. On C27 --

MR. MacEWEN: Just read the
beginning, my numbers are --
THE CHAIR: It's like three or four down from where we were. "The applicant shall not increase the square footage of the existing structures on the site." I'd put a period there. And delete the rest of it. What we're deleting is the part that says, "without further amendment to the special permit pursuant to the requirements of the zoning bylaw."

MR. MacEWEN: Is that precluding that he can't add anything to the building by the

THE CHAIR: It's not precluding that they could come back in and request an amendment if they wanted to, which is what we have right
now. They are precluded unless they come in for an amendment. I'm just suggesting that we --

MR. BROWN: I think the only thing we would see adding is like a portico over a doorway or something, like to give a cover to an entrance. We don't see any square footage. I mean, we haven't quite designed it all. The doorways as they are right now don't give you cover from the rain, but it's architectural. It wouldn't be significant to the building. For instance, not all entrances are handicapped accessible. So we might have a modification to

MR. EATON: But that wouldn't be a

MR. FLICK: It wouldn't be adding any

THE CHAIR: Brianna, would it add square footage if they covered a walkway to make it more handicap accessible?

MS. SKOWYRA: No.
THE CHAIR: Okay. Would you take any comfort if we were saying no square footage, but if they came and they wanted to add this
covering to make it more handicap accessible. Would you take any comfort if we had a condition that said this shall not preclude covering doorways to make them more handicap accessible? Does that help you at all or do you find that not necessary?

MS. SKOWYRA: I don't think it's necessary.

MR. FLICK: I think addressing handicap accessibility or protection of egresses and ingresses from the elements. To have a cover so you don't have snow and ice right up to the main entranceway so people have safe passage.

MR. MacEWEN: So do you perceive just canopies or closed foyer?

MR. GRIMMER: Something like that. I'm not sure of the architect's --

MR. FLICK: Or like a portico that comes out eight or ten feet to cover the sidewalk that's already there, but you're not adding any square footage.

MR. GRIMMER: Where there are stairs today we'd take the stairs up and put a ramp in.

It could extend 30 feet or something. You need something. We have to take care of those issues because the DPH is going to make us.

THE CHAIR: That came up during the hearing that there could be some modifications needed to make entrance and exits handicap accessible. That came up during the hearing. Our Building Commissioner is saying that it's not an issue that we need to worry about relative to square footage. She doesn't need the condition. Why don't we just leave -- you know, not try do something that we don't need to do. I would still take out about the amendment part. So what I'm calling C27 --

MR. MacEWEN: The presumption is if they're going to make a change they have to make an amendment and that's understood versus taking out without further amendment to this special permit. Take out --

THE CHAIR: So just end the sentence with the word "site" and then delete "without further amendment to this special permit pursuant to the requirements of the zoning bylaw."

|  | 53 |  | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. EATON: Agreed. | 1 | operate from 8:00 A.M." and it continues. I |
| 2 | THE CHAIR: Is that okay, Brian? | 2 | would delete the word "from" and replace it with |
| 3 | MR. MacEWEN: I'm leaning towards | 3 | the words "between the hours of." We're not |
| 4 | keeping "without further amendment to this | 4 | actually requiring them to be operating 12 hours |
| 5 | special permit." I don't need "pursuant to the | 5 | ing the week, but we're giving them that |
| 6 | requirements of the zoning bylaw." I don't know | 6 | ndow to operat |
| 7 | if leaving off "without further amendment to the | 7 | MR. EATON: Yes |
| 8 | special permit" dramatically changes the intent | 8 | MR. MacEWEN: Yes |
| 9 | of what you're trying | 9 | THE CHAIR: Is that okay with you, |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: No. It tightens it up so | 10 | Don? |
| 11 | that we're talking about where we're not | 11 | MR. EATON: Yes |
| 12 | anticipating growth. We're talking about | 12 | MR. MacEWEN: "Operate between the |
| 13 | square footage and | 13 | hours of 8:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M. Monday through |
| 14 | number of beds. When you add the words "without | 14 | Friday and 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. on Saturday |
| 15 | further amendment' | 15 | and Sunday." |
| 16 | perceived as an invitation to come in, you have | 16 | THE CHAIR: Yes, that's what I'm |
| 17 | to go through the process | 17 | proposing. Is that okay? |
| 18 | anticipating that | 18 | MR. MacEWEN: Yes |
| 19 | MR. MacEWEN: We said that in other | 19 | MR. EATON: Yes |
| 20 | parts of | 20 | THE CHAIR: By the way, I hope you |
| 21 | E CHAIR: No, we've taken that out. | 21 | don't consider any of these proposals motions or |
| 22 | R. FLICK: Right. We have a legal | 22 | anything like that because a Chair doesn't make |
| 23 | right under Chapter 40 (a) to come in to seek | 23 | motions |
| 24 | amendment to the special permit should we deem | 24 | MR. EATON: I'm aware of that. |
|  | 54 |  | 56 |
|  | it necessary to do so. Taking the language out, | 1 | THE CHAIR: I know you are. I just |
| 2 | I think, as long as it's reflected in the record | 2 | wanted to make sure everybody else is |
| 3 | that you're not cutting off our statutory right | 3 | I think on C29, which is the next |
|  | to come in | 4 | one, there's 105 CMR 750.000. I think we need |
| 5 | anyway. It's just simply removing superfluous | 5 | to add a comma and then replace the word "or" |
| 6 | language because we have that salutary rig | 6 | with "nor shall they include" just to make it |
| 7 | any | 7 | clearer. We're talking about "services provided |
| 8 | HE CHAIR | 8 | at the site shall not include outpatient |
| 9 | MR. MacEWEN: Don? | 9 | methadone detoxification or outpatient methadone |
| 10 | MR. EATON: I | 10 | intenance services, licensed as and defined |
| 11 | EN: Thank you | 11 | pursuant to a methadone treatment program by the |
| 12 | THE CHAIR: Okay, so we're all agreed | 12 | Massachusetts Department of Public Health under |
| 13 | on that? | 13 | its regulations at CMR 105 750.000, nor shall |
| 14 | MR. EATON: Yes | 14 | ey include other similar outpatient medication |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Brian, that's good? | 15 | assisted treatment." Which is what we discussed |
| 16 | MR. MacEWEN: Y | 16 | the last time. |
| 17 | THE CHAIR: Okay. The next one, | 17 | MR. EATON: So the emphasis is on the |
| 18 | which is C28, it starts "The outpatient aspect | 18 | outpatient |
| 19 | of the facility." | 19 | THE CHAIR: Yes, this is limited to |
| 20 | MR. FLICK: Where are we now? | 20 | e outpatients because I think we understand |
| 21 | R. MacEWEN: The very next item. | 21 | that methadone or similar substances could |
| 22 | THE CHAIR: Yeah, it's the very next | 22 | actually be used for the inpatient resident. |
| 23 | item. It starts, "The outpatient aspect of the | 23 | MR. MacEWEN: Did we determine that |
| 24 | facility." Currently it says, "shall only | 24 | that regulation is a correct reference? |


|  | 57 |  | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE CHAIR: No. | 1 | THE CHAIR: Yes. |
| 2 | MR. MacEWEN: I'm asking the | 2 | MR. EATON: Yes, it was. I didn't |
| 3 | applicant if he's | 3 | make it up. |
| 4 | MR. FLICK: Yes, it's correct. | 4 | MR. MacEWEN: But I don't have it in |
| 5 | THE CHAIR: Don, is that okay? | 5 | as a discussion item. |
| 6 | MR. EATON: Yes, that's fine. | 6 | THE CHAIR: All right. For now we're |
| 7 | THE CHAIR: Brian, is that okay with | 7 | ng about tree clearing. |
| 8 | you, too? | 8 | MR. MacEWEN: See Don's notes. |
| 9 | MR. Macewen: Yes. | 9 | THE CHAIR: Why don't we just say, |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: Okay. On C31, we have | 10 | "Applicant shall maintain the property in a |
| 11 | that down as the tree clearing issues and I have | 11 | manner consistent with the bucolic, rural, |
| 12 | "see my notes." We need to get that esthetic | 12 | residential and agricultural esthetic." |
| 13 | condition in | 13 | MR. GRIMMER: Good job, Don. |
| 14 | MR. FLICK: You already address that. | 14 | MR. EATON: I'll go by and see if |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Did we get it already? | 15 | it's esthetic, rural |
| 16 | Do we have it in? | 16 | MR. GRIMMER: And bucolic. |
| 17 | MR. FLICK: We addressed it in the | 17 | MR. EATON: And bucolic. |
| 18 | fact that | 18 | THE CHAIR: I know we need to add |
| 19 | THE CHAIR: We had talked about, I | 19 | that there's no discharge to the street. That |
| 20 | think at our first discussion, "commercially |  | was one of the agreed upon conditions, but it's |
| 21 | reasonable steps to maintain or improve current | 21 | not in there yet. |
| 22 | esthetics on the property will be consistent | 22 | I'm just going to go through notes |
| 23 | with surrounding residential agricultural | 23 | that we had from before to see if there's |
| 24 | properties." We may not have that in there yet. | 24 | anything else. I think I'm at the end |
|  | 58 |  | 60 |
| 1 | That's why you said see Maryann's notes. | 1 | otherwise. Can anybody think of any other |
| 2 | . MacEWEN: Okay | 2 | conditions we discussed or that you might want |
| 3 | THE CHAIR: Basically, just to kind | 3 | to have? |
| 4 | of move this along, "There is a requirement to | 4 | MR. FLICK: Can we go back and take a |
| 5 | use commercially reasonable step | 5 | look at -- I don't know what your number is, but |
| 6 | maintain or improve the current esthetics of the | 6 | mine is C27. |
| 7 | property to be consistent with the surrounding | 7 | THE CHAIR: Okay. |
| 8 | residential agricultural properties. | 8 | MR. FLICK: I think it's redundant |
| 9 | R. MacEWEN: Sounds good to | 9 | with what we discussed at the beginning of our |
| 10 | THE CHAIR: Yes, we had decided on | 10 | project. It starts with, "The project shall not |
| 11 | that before. | 11 | substantively deplete the Town's water |
| 12 | MR. EATON: I don't know if this is | 12 | supplies.." I think this one just needs to be |
| 13 | the wording and you expanded it, but it was in | 13 | stricken. |
| 14 | there earlier | 14 | MR. MacEWEN: How does that begin, |
| 15 | THE CHAIR: Yes. What you have is, | 15 | John? |
| 16 | "Applicant shall maintain the property in a | 16 | MR. FLICK: "The project shall not |
| 17 | manner consistent with the bucolic, rural, | 17 | substantively deplete the Town's water supplies, |
| 18 | residential and agricultural esthetic." That's | 18 | and the applicant shall comply with all |
| 19 | ce, too. | 19 | requirements and orders of the Town with respect |
| 20 | MR. EATON: It's | 20 | to use of water." I think we addressed that |
| 21 | THE CHAIR: Why don't we use that | 21 | earlier when we were talking about Town's |
| 22 | one. | 22 | orders, etc. |
| 23 | MR. MacEWEN: Was that in one of the | 23 | THE CHAIR: You have that as C27? |
| 24 | discussions? | 24 | MR. BROWN: Originally C24. Our C27, |


think we have some of this, but do we have it all?

MR. MacEWEN: C23.
THE CHAIR: Do we have that it's the name and contact information for their administrator. "This information is for purposes of general communication and/or emergency contact. Current copies of DPH and DMH operating licenses."

MR. EATON: No.
THE CHAIR: Yeah, I think we missed part of this, but we had actually agreed to it. We said, "An organizational chart showing the Quabbin Retreat's chain of command. In addition, the Town shall be included on the on-call distribution list." Are we still agreeable to those items?

MR. MacEWEN: We're going to add that to the one that says, "Applicant will maintain with the Town's Administrative Coordinator..."

THE CHAIR: Yes, it can all go together.

MR. EATON: Is that C23?
THE CHAIR: I know the numbering has
66
changed. It's a little hard with the different drafts.

MR. BROWN: So what is it now?
THE CHAIR: You don't remember?
MR. GRIMMER: He wasn't here that
night.
MR. BROWN: I wasn't here that night. You want a list of everything?

MR. GRIMMER: They want a list of contacts in case.

THE CHAIR: And copies of their current licenses.

MR. BROWN: We can do that, but we don't do that even for our hospitals. The fact that you want that, although it's not necessarily unreasonable, it's not necessary.

MR. FLICK: It's a public record.
MR. BROWN: It's a public record. We run hospitals, right. We don't provide Gardner or Athol with any of that. It's posted in our facilities by law so when you walk in the front door you see it.

THE CHAIR: But you don't mind providing it. It's a public record anyway.

MR. BROWN: It is, but it's just one more thing that someone has to remember to send to the Town.

THE CHAIR: Well, if you forget we'll remind you.

MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE CHAIR: So It's A, B, C and D basically.

MR. MacEWEN: Yes, I'll add that in.
THE CHAIR: It's just nice for a lot of purposes. It's for the transparency and information in a nice central location. Okay, that's the write-up of it.

Just bear with me, I'm just trying to get through a lot of different notes. Do we have something in there that talks about that they're going to have that Community Advisory Board. I know it's not a condition. Did we have that in there, that that was part of what they were --

MR. MacEWEN: D12, we didn't put any meat into it.

THE CHAIR: What does it say?
MR. MacEWEN: Community Advisory

Committee.
THE CHAIR: Just those words?
MR. MacEWEN: "Combine with D7 and/or
add more background on this item."
THE CHAIR: Why don't we, where they mention about the PILOT, just turn that into a sentence in that location where we're saying that "The proposed PILOT includes the formation of a Community Advisory Group." It's a nice feature of the applicant's presentation, that they're planning to do that. We're not making it a condition, but it's part of the persuasiveness of their presentation.

Okay. What do you have right now for D3?

MR. MacEWEN: "There is no demonstrated need for the proposed services..."

THE CHAIR: Specific to the Town residents?

MR. MacEWEN: "Specific and exclusive to Town residents according to the data presented by the applicant with its application."

THE CHAIR: Okay, and then it picks
up, "However, certain..." Okay. All right. We may need to do a little housekeeping with this, but $I$ don't have any other suggestions.

Since you all have hung in here with us, thank you very much. Is there anything that you feel like we did not cover in our deliberation that you would like us to consider? This is not reopening the record, it's just based on what we've heard and what you listened to. Any other thoughts. Roy?

MR. NILSON: Have you limited them to having only one well in perpetuity, should they have a need for a second?

THE CHAIR: I would say no because it just talks about a well on the site.

MR. NILSON: A well.
THE CHAIR: It doesn't say the word one. I would say no. It's the idea that their source of water being from a well. All right. Anything else. Mark?

MR. MARK: In the condition where it talks about maintaining security at the site, just remembering back to when the Chief was here and I think the decision or the recommendation
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was made that there be, at minimum, two.
THE CHAIR: At minimum two security?
MR. MARK: Yes.
THE CHAIR: I remember that, yes.
What do we have on the condition there?
MR. MacEWEN: "The applicant shall
maintain trained security personnel on the site at all times, 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, 365 days per year."

THE CHAIR: Mark is raising a good point. The Chief did say that one person, in his opinion, really would not be adequate, that there should be a minimum of two. I don't think that was countered in any way by the applicant.

MR. GRIMMER: If I had been there and
I remembered that $I$ certainly would have
countered it. With all due respect to the
Chief, he doesn't understand healthcare. At the
hospital in Athol we have one security person
there all the time. Actually only 16 hours a day. Even at the hospital in Heywood, night shifts will have a single security officer for the hospital in Gardner.

THE CHAIR: In Athol, how far is the
hospital from the police station?
MR. GRIMMER: Maybe a mile, maybe a mile-and-a-half.

THE CHAIR: I think here there's more of a distance and there's also probably a smaller police force.

MR. FLICK: Can I make a suggestion. That this is something that's looked at as when the annual reviews are done.

THE CHAIR: Paul, do you have another --

MR. PAUL: I would imagine that they have trained staff for restraint or other types of things that regulations would require them to maintain.

MR. GRIMMER: Yes.
MR. PAUL: I think in terms of what Dana was talking about, I thought what he was saying is that if you had to do something with a patient or someone there that he, the police, would use two people. I would imagine they would have that.

THE CHAIR: I think you're bringing up a point that was also part of that
conversation which was that the applicant had mentioned about how others at the site are trained.

MR. GRIMMER: That's a very good point. All the staff are trained as well as the security.

THE CHAIR: So there is actually more than one person on site who would be able to help restore order prior to their involvement. Why don't we add that, you know, as far as on the review, like one of the matters that we would specifically request to be reviewed is whether the security is adequate. It's sort of like a pointing to it, but it's not --

MR. FLICK: With all due respect, the whole use is open for review at all of those times. Saying that would be the same as saying that we have the right to appeal or to amend within the special permit.

THE CHAIR: Right. Where is that security thing. Do you have somewhere in there that it says adequate, do we have the word adequate in there?

MR. EATON: I think it just says
 motion and we can go into discussion on it.

MR. EATON: Okay. I make a motion to approve the application as submitted.

THE CHAIR: Do I have a second?
MR. MacEWEN: I second it.
THE CHAIR: Okay. For discussion. can't approve that motion because I think as submitted the application is not one that I could support. However, I do think that during the course of our deliberation we have collectively, as a Board, have come up with some discussion points, findings and conditions that if those were moved as the basis for allowing the application I could be comfortable. I think the applicant has indicated that the conditions that we've discussed are acceptable to them. I think members of the public that have participated have given us their input. We don't know for sure that we've got all the bases covered relative to making this not appealable.

I think that the conditions -- I think the work that we've done with the draft decision is
something I'd like to see as part of the basis
78
for approval. I think that's really what you were asking, right, that kind -- or not, maybe you really want it as approved. I'm going to vote no on the motion as stated. Do you have another motion?

MR. EATON: No. Maybe Brian does.
MR. MacEWEN: I'd make a motion to amend Don's motion to basically approve the application subject to the discussion, findings and conditions that we have reviewed in the last three to four meetings that we deliberated in with all edits and changes and minor wordsmithing to be forthwith completed for a complete package for the approval of the application.

THE CHAIR: Do we have a second?
MR. EATON: Yes. I second.
THE CHAIR: Any discussion? Hearing no discussion, all in favor?

MR. MacEWEN: Aye.
MR. EATON: Aye.
THE CHAIR: Aye. Okay.
Congratulations. Is there any other business to come before the Board? Hearing none, do I have
a motion for adjournment?
MR. MacEWEN: Before we adjourn I would like to have a timeline when we have to finalize.

MR. FLICK: Writing the decision?
MR. MacEWEN: Yeah, getting this
finalized.
MR. FLICK: The 20-day appeal period starts ticking when it's submitted to the Town Clerk.

MR. MacEWEN: Okay. So as soon as we can get that to her. I just wanted -- we've got a fair amount of going back and forth, making sure that all the changes get accepted between Town Counsel and what we've done and get it implemented properly.

THE CHAIR: John, what would be your understanding of the deadline for filing the written decision with the Town Clerk?

MR. FLICK: The decision's already been approved within the 90 days.

MR. MacEWEN: So the May 13th deadline.

THE CHAIR: I think we actually might
be needing to get the written decision signed.
MR. FLICK: I would suggest in the interest of time having the signed written decision filed by the Town Clerk by May 12 th. You don't need a subsequent meeting to sign the decision. You can sign it each individually going to the Town Clerk's office. If there are any substantive changes that would require discussion.

THE CHAIR: Okay. So by May 12th we should have a signed decision and preferably filed with the Town Clerk. I think as long as we've signed it we're probably covered. She only has hours on Mondays.

MR. EATON: Let's try to get it to her a Monday before May 12 th.

MR. FLICK: That would be May 11th.
THE CHAIR: May 11th.
MR. FLICK: That's May 4th, that would be Monday.

THE CHAIR: I think we're closed. Anything else before we adjourn? Okay. Do I have a motion to adjourn.

MR. MacEWEN: I make a motion to
adjourn.
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